

**TOWN OF PRESCOTT VALLEY
REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION
Date: April 24, 2014**

SUBJECT: Proposed Abandonment of Public Utility Easement

SUBMITTING DEPARTMENT: Public Works Department

PREPARED BY: Ron Pine, Engineering Division Manager, for
Norm Davis, Public Works Director

AGENDA LOCATION: Comments/Communications , Consent , Work/Study ,
New Business , Public Hearing , Second Reading

ATTACHMENTS: a) Public Utility Easement Description b) Utility Company Letters Approving Abandonment c) Resolution No. 1875

SUMMARY/BACKGROUND: As part of the original plat of Prescott Valley Unit XIX, a Public Utility Easement was dedicated on a portion of Lots 8972, 8973, 8974 to provide operations and maintenance of utility lines. Recently, however, reconstruction of the Viewpoint Drive/Long Look Drive intersection re-aligned and shifted Viewpoint Drive to the east (eliminating the need for a portion of an easement partially located within the new roadway alignment). Un-needed public utility easements may be abandoned by reversionary plat or resolution. In this case, the more expedient approach of abandonment by resolution is appropriate since no utility lines are located in the easement and applicable utility companies have indicated that they would approve such abandonment.

As part of moving forward with the Viewpoint Drive Connector Project, the Public Works Department is requesting that the unused portion of easement be abandoned.

OPTIONS ANALYSIS: The Council may vote to:

- 1.) Approve the proposed easement abandonment by adopting Resolution No. 1875, **OR**
- 2.) Not approve the easement abandonment and Resolution, **AND/OR**
- 3.) Direct staff to pursue other options

ACTION OPTION: Motion to authorize the Mayor (or, in his absence, the Vice Mayor) to sign Resolution No.1875 approving the proposed easement abandonment. **VOTE.**

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends approval of abandoning the proposed easement.

FISCAL ANALYSIS: There is no direct fiscal impact as a result of approving this abandonment of easement (except for minor recording fees).

REVIEWED BY:

Management Services Director _____

Town Clerk _____

Town Attorney _____

Town Manager _____

COUNCIL ACTION:

Approved Denied Tabled/Deferred Assigned to _____