

**TOWN OF PRESCOTT VALLEY
REQUEST FOR COUNCIL ACTION
Date: January 27, 2011**

SUBJECT: Fain Lake Sediment Removal

SUBMITTING DEPARTMENT: Parks & Recreation

PREPARED BY: Brian Witty, Parks & Recreation Director

AGENDA LOCATION: Comments/Communications , Consent , Work/Study ,
New Business , Public Hearing , Second Reading

ATTACHMENTS:

- a) Bid Tabulation
- b) Construction Contract

SUMMARY BACKGROUND: This project is for the removal of sediment from the lake at Fain Park. Associated repairs to the lake, such as to the floating walkway, are also included in this project. This project was not budgeted for the current fiscal year.

Bids submittals were received on Monday, January 10, 2011 from four (4) contractors, as shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1

Rank	Bidder	Base Bid - Sediment Load, Haul, & Dispose	Staff Recommended Quantity	+	Additive Alternate - Dredging	Staff Recommended Quantity	=	Total Bid
Low	Earth Resources	\$3.74 / CY	13,369 CY	+	\$2,949.00 / wk	4 weeks	=	\$61,796.00
2nd	Asphalt Paving & Supply	\$3.88 / CY			\$5,285.00 / wk			
3rd	Technology Construction	\$7.45 / CY			\$9,400.00 / wk			
4th	Grady's Quality Excavating	\$10.92 / CY			\$5,236.50 / wk			

The bid included only two unit-price items. The Base Bid established a unit price per cubic yard for sediment loading, haul-off, and disposal. The Additive Alternate established a unit price per week for dredging.

Upon review of these unit price bids by Town staff, it is recommended that the award of the sediment removal contract for both the base bid and the additive alternate go to the responsive low bidder, Earth Resources, in a quantity of 13,369 CY for the base bid and in a quantity of 4 weeks for the additive alternate bid.

Upon review of the project costs, it is further recommended that the Town make associated repairs that are to include the floating walkway. Repair costs are estimated at \$8,200.

Equipment used in the removal of sediment from the lake will have a negative impact on the parks infrastructure related to its roadways and parking lot. These infrastructure repair costs will be planned for future fiscal year's consideration.

OPTION ANALYSIS: The Council may vote to:

- 1.) Approve the award of the Contract to the responsive low bidder **AND** approval of the related repairs **AND** associated budget transfers, **OR**
- 2.) Not approve the award **AND** repairs **AND** Budget Transfer **AND/OR**
- 3.) Direct staff to pursue other options

ACTION OPTION: Motion to approve the sediment removal contract with Earth Resources in the amount of \$61,796.00 **AND** approval of the related repairs in an amount up to \$8,200.00 **AND** to approve budget transfers as per the Fiscal Analysis, **OR** motion not to approve. **VOTE**

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends approval of award for the sediment removal contract to the responsive low bidder, Earth Resources, for this project and approval of the related repairs.

FISCAL ANALYSIS: The Fiscal Analysis for this project is shown in the tables below:

Table 1

Parks Maint. / Repairs & Maint. / Improvements - Not Capital		
HTE Account Number: 101-3540-652.43-13		
FISCAL YEAR 2010/2011	Approved Budget:	\$8,000.00
	Expended & Encumbered:	-\$1,707.51
	Construction Contract:	-\$61,796.00 < (this action)
	Related Repairs:	-\$8,200.00 < (this action)
	Budget Transfer:	<u>\$70,000.00</u> < (this action)
	Balance:	<u>\$6,296.49</u>
		FISCAL YEAR 2010/2011

It is recommended that \$70,000.00 be transferred from the Contingency budget line item to the "Parks Maint./Repairs & Maint. / Improvement – Not Capital" budget line item, per the table below:

Table 2

Contingency		
HTE Account Number: 101-9500-619.89-99		
FISCAL YEAR 2010/2011	Revised Budget:	\$145,000.00
	Expended & Encumbered:	-\$25,000.00
	Budget Transfer:	<u>-\$70,000.00</u> < (this action)
	Balance:	\$50,000.00
		FISCAL YEAR 2010/2011

REVIEWED BY:

Management Services Director _____ Town Clerk _____

Town Attorney _____

Town Manager _____

COUNCIL ACTION:

Approved Denied Tabled/Deferred Assigned to _____